



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,820	10/31/2003	Matthew Englehart	MWS-062RCE2	1288
74321	7590	09/04/2009	EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP/THE MATHWORKS			CHEN, QING	
FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Post Office Square				
Boston, MA 02109-2127			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,820	ENGLEHART ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qing Chen	2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Qing Chen. (3) Ishna Neamatullah.

(2) Kevin Canning (Reg. No. 35,470). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Real-Time Workshop® User's Guide" and US 2003/0056195.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the cited prior art. Applicant proposed to amend the claims to further clarify the inventive subject matter. Examiner will take Applicant's amendment into consideration when the formal amendment is received by the Office. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Wei Y Zhen
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191